## HOMEWORK 7: Einstein's Equation

COURSE: Physics 208, General Relativity (Winter 2017) INSTRUCTOR: Flip Tanedo (flip.tanedo@ucr.edu) DUE DATE: Thursday, March 2 in class. (No class on Tuesday, Feb 28.)

You are required to complete the Reading Assignment and Essential Problems below. Please let me know if these are too time intensive. No extra problems this week.

# **Reading Assignment**

This week: brush up on the derivation of the Einstein equation from as many perspectives as possible. Start reading about gravitational waves<sup>1</sup>.

CAVEAT EMPTOR: as always, do what I mean, not necessarily what I say.

## **Essential Problems**

## 1 Fluid Mechanics from the Relativistic Ideal Fluid

We introduced the stress energy tensor of the ideal fluid in flat spacetime:

$$T^{\mu\nu}(x) = (\rho(x) + P(x)) U^{\mu}(x) U^{\nu}(x) - P(x) \eta^{\mu\nu} , \qquad (1.1)$$

where  $\rho$ , P, and U are the fluid density, pressure, and 4-velocity, respectively.

The conservation of 4-momentum states that  $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ . From this we can re-derive some of the key equations of fluid mechanics:

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

$$\rho \left[\partial_t + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\right] \mathbf{v} = \nabla P \ . \tag{1.3}$$

These are the continuity and the Euler equations. The bracketed term in the Euler equation is the so-called convective or material derivative.

Our strategy is to derive these equations in the non-relativistic limit of  $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu}\nu(x) = 0$ . The two equations correspond to projections of this conservation equation onto two subspaces: (1) the one-dimensional subspace along the 4-velocity  $U^{\mu}$  and (2) the three-dimensional complement of this space.

(a) Because U is normalized to unity, the projection operator is simply  $U^{\mu}$  itself. Note that since the space is one-dimensional, the projection operator only has one tensorial index. The projection operator onto the three-dimensional complement of U is

$$P^{\alpha}_{\ \mu} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\mu} - U^{\alpha}U_{\mu} \ . \tag{1.4}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For those that are interested, Cellar Door Books (in Canyon Crest) will be having a book club discussion on *Black Hole Blues*, by Janna Levin, in April. The book describes the LIGO experiment and some of the characters behind it. http://faculty.ucr.edu/~flipt/physci.html

Confirm that this is orthogonal to the one-dimensional subspace and that this projection operator is properly normalized  $(P^2 = P)$ .

(b) Prove the following useful result using the fact that U is normalized:

$$U^{\mu}\partial_{\nu}U_{\mu} = 0. \qquad (1.5)$$

(c) Show that the projection of  $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$  onto the one-dimensional subspace gives the continuity equation. To do this, calculate  $U_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}$ . The previous 'useful result' may, indeed, be useful. As an intermediate step, you'll find

$$\partial_{\mu}(\rho U^{\mu}) - P(\partial_{\mu} U^{\mu}) = 0.$$
(1.6)

Take the non-relativistic limit by replacing  $U = (1, \mathbf{v})$  with  $|\mathbf{v}| \ll 1$  and assume low (non-relativistic) pressure, so that  $P \ll \rho$ .

(d) Use the projection operator (1.4) to derive the continuity equation from  $P^{\alpha}_{\ \nu}\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ . You should find many terms vanishing or canceling. As an intermediate step, you should find:

$$\rho(U \cdot \partial)U^{\alpha} - \partial^{\alpha}P + U^{\alpha}(U \cdot \partial)P = 0.$$
(1.7)

Confirm that taking the non-relativistic limit and dropping higher-order terms in  $\mathbf{v}$  and P gives the Euler equation, above.

Observe that the Euler equation is the generalization of  $\mathbf{F} = m\mathbf{a}$  for a fluid, where the "acceleration" is defined as a convective derivative of the 3-velocity  $\mathbf{v}$ . The simple interpretation for this is that the [total] derivative of the velocity should be expandeD:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial x^i}, \qquad (1.8)$$

where the second term is simply  $(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}$ .

This expression comes up often in the theory of structure formation and galaxy evolution. See, e.g. astro-ph/9410043 or your favorite more modern reference<sup>2</sup>. In this context, the "ideal fluid" that is being described by  $T^{\mu\nu}$  is dark matter.

### 2 Covariant Constancy of the Einstein Tensor

In lecture 12 we "hacked" together the Einstein equation. Our strategy was to find something which satisfies the heuristic form (inspired by electrodynamics):

$$(curvature) = (coupling) (source).$$
 (2.1)

We identified the source as  $T_{\mu\nu}$  and the coupling as something proportional to the Newton constant G. We then use the symmetry and two-indexed-ness of  $T_{\mu\nu}$  to constrain what the left-hand side might be. After a little thought, we only came up with two possible terms so that the left-hand side must be a linear combination:

$$\left(\text{prefactor}\right)\left(R_{\mu\nu} + \alpha R g_{\mu\nu}\right) \,. \tag{2.2}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>I like http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/db275/Cosmology/Chapter4.pdf.

The prefactor may be absorbed into how we define the coupling on the right-hand side. We fixed the relative size  $\alpha$  by requiring that the left-hand side is convariantly constant,  $D_{\mu} (R_{\mu\nu} + \alpha R g_{\mu\nu}) = 0$ . This is required since the right-hand side is convariantly constant by the conservation of 4-momentum:  $D_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ . (This is, of course, the curved space generalization of the main equation used in problem 1.)

In lecture, we claimed that convariant constancy implied  $\alpha = -1/2$  and defined the Einstein tensor as

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} \,. \tag{2.3}$$

Prove that  $D_{\mu}G^{\mu\nu} = 0$ .

HINT: As an intermediate step, you should prove the Bianchi identity:

$$D_{\lambda}R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + D_{\nu}R_{\lambda\mu\alpha\beta} + D_{\mu}R_{\nu\lambda\alpha\beta} = 0. \qquad (2.4)$$

How should you do this? Well, it turns out you might want to start by proving the Jacobi identity, which you may remember from quantum mechanics or group theory:

$$[D_{\lambda}, [D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}]] + [D_{\nu}, [D_{\lambda}, D_{\mu}]] + [D_{\mu}, [D_{\nu}, D_{\lambda}]] = 0.$$
(2.5)

Then use a result that we showed when we learned about the Riemann tensor:

$$[D_{\alpha}, D_{\beta}]A^{\mu} = R^{\mu}_{\ \lambda\alpha\beta}A^{\lambda}.$$
(2.6)

You can, in turn, prove this using  $D_{\alpha}D_{\beta}A^{\mu} = D_{\alpha}(\partial_{\beta}A^{\mu} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\beta\lambda}A^{\lambda})$  and the definition of the Riemann tensor,  $R^{\mu}_{\ \lambda\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\lambda\beta} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\alpha}\Gamma^{\nu}_{\lambda\beta} - (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta).$ 

### 3 Einstein Equation for Schwarzschild

Confirm that Einstein's equation is satisfied for the Schwarzschild metric. HINT: start by showing that it is sufficient to show that  $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ . What should you use for  $T_{\mu\nu}$ ? Feel free to use a *Mathematica* package to calculate any curvature quantities.

#### 4 Einstein Equation for Dark Energy

Suppose you have a universe with a spherically symmetric, but time-dependent metric:

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} - a(t)^{2} d\mathbf{x}^{2} \,. \tag{4.1}$$

Fill the universe with a cosmological constant so that the 'matter' action is:

$$S_{\text{stuff}} = -\int d^4x \sqrt{g}\Lambda \,. \tag{4.2}$$

where  $g = -\det g_{\mu\nu}$ . Use Einstein's equation to determine the form of the scale factor, a(t) up to initial conditions. Feel free to use a *Mathematica* package to calculate any curvature quantities.