Variable Cross-Resistance to Cry11B from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan* in *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae) Resistant to Single or Multiple Toxins of *Bacillus thuringienisis* subsp. *israelensis*

MARGARET C. WIRTH,¹* ARMELLE DELÉCLUSE,² BRIAN A. FEDERICI,^{1,3} AND WILLIAM E. WALTON¹

Department of Entomology¹ and Interdepartmental Graduate Program in Genetics,³ University of California, Riverside, California 92521, and Unité des Bactéries Entomopathogènes, Institute Pasteur, Paris, France²

Received 27 May 1998/Accepted 8 August 1998

A novel mosquitocidal bacterium, Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. jegathesan, and one of its toxins, Cry11B, in a recombinant B. thuringiensis strain were evaluated for cross-resistance with strains of the mosquito Culex quinquefasciatus that are resistant to single and multiple toxins of Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. israelensis. The levels of cross-resistance (resistance ratios [RR]) at concentrations which caused 95% mortality (LC_{05}) between B. thuringiensis subsp. jegathesan and the different B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis-resistant mosquito strains were low, ranging from 2.3 to 5.1. However, the levels of cross-resistance to Cry11B were much higher and were directly related to the complexity of the B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis Cry toxin mixtures used to select the resistant mosquito strains. The LC₉₅ RR obtained with the mosquito strains were as follows: 53.1 against Cq4D, which was resistant to Cry11A; 80.7 against Cq4AB, which was resistant to Cry4A plus Cry4B; and 347 against Cq4ABD, which was resistant to Cry4A plus Cry4B plus Cry11A. Combining Cyt1A with Cry11B at a 1:3 ratio had little effect on suppressing Cry11A resistance in Cq4D but resulted in synergism factors of 4.8 and 11.2 against strains Cq4AB and Cq4ABD, respectively; this procedure eliminated crossresistance in the former mosquito strain and reduced it markedly in the latter strain. The high levels of activity of B. thuringiensis subsp. jegathesan and B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis, both of which contain a complex mixture of Cry and Cyt proteins, against Cry4- and Cry11-resistant mosquitoes suggest that novel bacterial strains with multiple Cry and Cyt proteins may be useful in managing resistance to bacterial insecticides in mosquito populations.

The strategies currently used for biological control of mosquitoes depend primarily on products based on two mosquitocidal bacteria, Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. israelensis and Bacillus sphaericus (17, 20). These bacteria have high degrees of insect specificity and environmental safety, which makes them particularly suitable for use against mosquitoes in sensitive wetlands and against mosquito populations resistant to synthetic chemical insecticides. The toxicity of these bacteria to mosquitoes is due to endotoxin proteins which are synthesized during sporulation and are assembled into parasporal crystals that are toxic when they are ingested by larvae (14). The crystals of B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis contain four major endotoxins, designated Cry4A (125 kDa), Cry4B (134 kDa), Cry11A (67 kDa), and Cyt1Aa (27 kDa) (5, 14), whereas the B. sphaericus crystals are composed of two proteins with molecular masses of 51 and 42 kDa (1, 2).

While bacterial larvicides are currently very effective, resistance to *B. sphaericus* has been reported in several populations of *Culex quinquefasciatus* and *Culex pipiens* in different regions of the world; this resistance threatens the long-term viability of products based on *B. sphaericus* (22, 25, 26). Moreover, although resistance to *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* has not been reported in field populations of mosquitoes, laboratory selection studies have demonstrated that *C. quinquefasciatus* has the potential to develop resistance to individual toxins of this bacterium, as well as combinations of toxins (11). Tactics

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Entomology, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521. Phone: (909) 787-3918. Fax: (909) 787-3086. E-mail: mcwirth@mail.ucr.edu. for managing resistance to the mosquitocidal bacteria include rotating different mosquitocidal strains of *B. thuringiensis* and using genetic engineering to produce strains of *B. thuringiensis* and *B. sphaericus* that contain new combinations of toxins.

Several recently isolated novel mosquitocidal strains of *B. thuringiensis* may facilitate resistance management (8, 21). One of the recently isolated organisms is *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan*, an organism that was originally isolated in Malaysia (24) and is highly toxic to *Aedes aegypti*, *C. pipiens*, and *Anopheles stephensi* (21). The parasporal crystals of this species are complex and contain seven major proteins that have molecular masses of 80, 70, 72, 65, 37, 26, and 16 kDa (8). The 80-kDa protein, designated Cry11B, is related to Cry11A (formerly Cry4D), which was originally isolated from *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis*; Cry11B exhibits 58% identity with Cry11A at the amino acid level (8). Cry11B is a potentially important protein for resistance management because its toxicity to mosquitoes is similar to that of intact parasporal crystals of *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan* (8).

Although *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan* and Cry11B have potential for integration into resistance management programs, their successful use in such programs will depend upon the degree of cross-resistance to *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis*, especially the degree of cross-resistance between the component endotoxins. Cross-resistance between the distantly related mosquitocidal Cry4 and Cry11 endotoxin proteins from *B. thuringiensis* has already been demonstrated (31), and crossresistance among different Cry proteins toxic to lepidopterous insects has also been described (12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 28–30). Consequently, novel mosquitocidal strains and Cry proteins

Toxin(s)	No. of larvae LC ₅₀ (µg/ml)		LC ₉₅ (µg/ml)	Slope	Theoretical LC ₅₀ (µg/ml)	SF
B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis	600	$0.0261 (0.0228 - 0.0299)^a$	0.154 (0.122-0.207)	2.1		
B. thuringiensis subsp. jegathesan	600	0.0707 (0.0612-0.0815)	0.441 (0.343–0.607)	2.1		
Cry11A Cry11A	700	0.783 (0.681–0.899)	4.99 (3.94–6.67)	2.0		
Cry11B	700	0.0881 (0.0768-0.101)	0.480 (0.383-0.636)	2.2		
Cyt1A	500	25.6 (7.5–90.3)	Plateau ^b			
Cry11B + Cyt1A	700	0.149 (0.129-0.173)	1.07 (0.836-1.44)	1.9	0.117	0.78
Cry4A + Cry4B	900	0.185 (0.156-0.218)	2.22 (1.69–3.12)	1.5		
Cry4A + Cry4B + Cry11A	800	0.0211 (0.0185–0.0242)	0.113 (0.0907–0.147)	2.3		

TABLE 1. Toxicities for different mosquitocidal bacterial toxins with strain CqSyn90

^a The values in parentheses are the fiducial limits (95% confidence interval).

^b There was a plateau at concentrations from 100 to 1,000 μg/ml, with an average mortality of 63.5% (32).

need to be evaluated for potential cross-resistance to mosquitocidal *B. thuringiensis* strains that are already widely used.

In the present study, using strains of *C. quinquefasciatus* resistant to single or multiple toxins of *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis*, we evaluated the levels of cross-resistance to *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan* and to Cry11B. We found that our resistant strains of *C. quinquefasciatus* exhibit levels of cross-resistance to *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan* and Cry11B that are variable and are related primarily to the type of toxin or toxin combination used to select for resistance. In addition, we found that Cyt1A combined with Cry11B can suppress most of the cross-resistance to Cry11B in two of the resistant strains examined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental design. Statistical accuracy in the bioassays used to evaluate cross-resistance in control and resistant mosquito populations required gram quantities of toxin preparations. As a result, crystal-spore mixtures of the bacterial strains, rather than purified toxins, were used. The test products with resistant mosquito strains which were maintained in the laboratory by routine selection with crystal-spore mixtures of *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* strains that contained the selecting toxins alone or in combination.

Bacterial strains and toxins. Seven toxin preparations consisting of crystalspore mixtures from lysed cultures were evaluated. Five of these were preparations from recombinant strains that produced toxins, alone or in combination, by expressing cloned genes in acrystalliferous strains of *B. thuringiensis*. These strains are referred to below by the name(s) of the toxin(s) which each produced, as follows: Cry11A, which produced Cry11A in *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *kurstaki* (3); Cyt1Aa (33), Cry4A-Cry4B (7), and Cry4A-Cry4B-Cry11A (6), which produced the toxin or toxin combination in an acrystalliferous strain of *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis*; and Cry11B, which produced Cry11B in a strain of *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *thuringiensis* (H1) (8). In addition to the recombinant strains, we used lyophilized powders of two wild-type strains (a *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* strain and a *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan* strain) that produced the toxins native to each subspecies (9, 24).

Toxin powder production, preparation, and storage. Bacterial strains producing the various toxins were grown on solid media or in liquid media as described previously (3, 6–9, 33). The sporulated cells were then washed in 1 M NaCl and/or distilled water and sedimented, and each resultant pellet was lyophilized. For mosquito selection and bioassays, stock suspensions of the powders were

prepared in distilled water and homogenized by using approximately 25 glass beads. Stocks were prepared monthly, and 10-fold serial dilutions were prepared weekly as needed. All stocks and dilutions were frozen at -20° C when not in use.

Mosquito strains. Five strains of *C. quinquefasciatus* were utilized in this study. These were C_q Syn90, a nonresistant parental reference strain, and four highly resistant strains derived from C_q Syn90 by selection with strains of *B. thuringiensis* that produced single or multiple *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* toxins (11). The resistant mosquito strains used and their current levels of resistance (resistance ratios [RR] at concentrations which caused 95% mortality [LC₉₅]) were: Cq4D, which was selected with Cry11A (formerly CryIVD) (RR, >7,000); Cq4AB, which was selected with Cry4A and Cry4B (RR, 290); Cq4ABD, which was selected with Cry4A, Cry4B, and Cry11A (RR, 949); and Cq4ABDCytA, which was selected with the wild-type preparation of *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* (RR, 12.7).

Selection and bioassay procedures. The four strains of resistant mosquitoes have been under selection pressure since 1991. Resistance was maintained by exposing groups of 1,000 early-fourth-instar larvae in 1 liter of distilled water in an enameled metal pan to an appropriate concentration of a powder containing the selecting toxin or toxin combination. The mortality was estimated after 24 h, and survivors were then fed and maintained in the treatment pan for approximately 3 days after exposure before they were transferred to fresh water.

Standard procedures were used for the bioassays (11). Twenty early-fourthinstar larvae were placed in 237-ml plastic cups containing 100 ml of distilled water. The appropriate concentration of toxin powder was added, and mortality was determined after 24 h. At least five (but usually 10 to 12) different concentrations were used, which yielded mortality rates ranging from 0 to 100%. Tests were replicated at least five times on 4 or 5 different days. Data were analyzed by probit analysis (10, 23). RR were calculated relative to the dose-response values obtained with nonresistant parental mosquito strain CqSyn90. Dose-response values with fiducial limits which overlapped were not considered significantly different from each other, nor were RR whose fiducial limits included the integer 1 considered significantly different from the RR for CqSyn90. Bioassays in which a toxin or combination of toxins was used were performed concurrently with the different mosquito strains to minimize extraneous variation. In tests in which Cyt1A and Cry11B were used, the toxin powders were combined at a ratio of 1 part of Cyt1A to 3 parts of Cry11B by weight.

Evaluation of synergism. Possible synergistic interactions between Cyt1A and Cry11B were evaluated and quantified by using the procedure of Tabashnik (27). Individual LC₅₀ were determined for Cry11B alone, Cyt1A alone, and combinations of Cry11B and Cyt1A by using the nonresistant parental mosquito strain and each of the four resistant mosquito strains. The theoretical LC₅₀ for the mixture of the two toxins was calculated from the weighted harmonic mean of the two individual values. The synergism factor (SF), which was defined as the ratio of the theoretical LC₅₀ to the observed LC₅₀, was calculated for the Cry11B-

TABLE 2. Toxicities and RR for various mosquitocidal bacterial toxins with strain Cq4D

Toxin(s)	No. of larvae	LC ₅₀ (µg/ml)	LC ₉₅ (µg/ml)	RI	C1	Theoretical		
				LC ₅₀	LC ₉₅	Slope	LC_{50} (µg/ml) SI	SF
B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis	800	0.0903 (0.0774-0.105) ^a	0.811 (0.618–1.13)	3.4 (2.9-4.1)	5.3 (3.8–7.3)	1.7		
B. thuringiensis subsp. jegathesan	600	0.236 (0.206-0.268)	1.22 (0.984–1.61)	3.3 (2.8–3.9)	2.8 (1.9-3.9)	2.3		
Cry11A	800	5,772	b	7,369		0.65		
Cry11B	1,400	0.808 (0.676-0.963)	25.5 (18.8-36.2)	9.2 (7.85-10.7)	53.1 (39.8–70.8)	1.1		
Cyt1A	600	23.3 (10.6–52.9)	Plateau ^c			1.3		
Cry11B + Cyt1A	900	1.06 (0.905-1.25)	18.7 (13.6-27.5)	7.1 (6.1–8.3)	17.5 (12.9–23.7)	1.3	1.06	1.0

^a The values in parentheses are the fiducial limits (95% confidence interval).

^b —, value not given because the predicted value was extraordinarily high.

^c There was a plateau at concentrations from 100 to 1,000 μ g/ml, with an average mortality of 51.5% (32).

Toxin(s)	No. of larvae	LC ₅₀ (µg/ml)	LC ₉₅ (µg/ml)	RR at:		CL	Theoretical	
				LC ₅₀	LC ₉₅	Slope	LC_{50} (µg/ml) S	55
B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis	500	0.0387 (0.0317-0.0468)	0.287 (0.218-0.399) ^a	1.48 (1.2–1.8)	1.86 (1.3-2.6)	1.9		
B. thuringiensis subsp. jegathesan	700	0.179 (0.157-0.204)	0.999 (0.800-1.31)	2.5 (2.1-3.0)	2.3 (1.6-3.2)	2.2		
Cry11A	800	4,017	b	5,129	_	0.38		
Cry11B	1,200	0.855 (0.695-1.05)	38.8 (26.5-60.8)	9.7 (8.3-11.3)	80.7 (59.8-109)	1.0		
Cyt1A	500	21.8 (18.2–27.1)	Plateau ^c			1.7		
Cry11B + Cyt1A	800	0.236 (0.204-0.273)	1.75 (1.38-2.35)	1.6 (1.3-1.9)	1.6 (1.2-2.2)	1.9	1.13	4.8
Cry4A + Cry4B	1,100	7.35 (5.74–9.37)	646 (410–1,111)	39.7 (34.5-45.7)	290 (221-380)	0.85		

TABLE 3. Toxicities and RR for various mosquitocidal bacterial toxins with strain Cq4AB

^a The values in parentheses are the fiducial limits (95% confidence interval).

 b —, value not given because the predicted value was extraordinarily high.

^c There was a plateau at concentrations from 100 to 1,000 µg/ml, with an average mortality of 75.5% (32).

Cyt1A mixture for each strain. No SF were calculated at the LC_{95} because Cyt1A bioassay lines were not linear at higher dosage-mortality concentrations. When the ratio was greater than 1, the toxin interaction was considered synergistic as the observed toxicity was greater than predicted from the individual toxicities. When the ratio was less than 1, the interaction was considered antagonistic, whereas a ratio of 1 indicated an additive interaction.

RESULTS

Toxicity to nonresistant mosquito strain *CqSyn90.* In our baseline studies, the *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan* strain was less toxic to parental strain *CqSyn90* than the *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* strain; the LC_{50} were 0.070 and 0.026 µg/ml, respectively (Table 1). Consistent with previous work (8), the toxicity of the Cry11B strain to *CqSyn90* (LC_{50} , 0.088 µg/ml) was similar to the toxicity of *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan* to *CqSyn90*, and the Cry11B strain was approximately 10 times more toxic than the Cry11A strain (Table 1). Importantly, Cyt1A was not synergistic with Cry11B; this combination was actually mildly antagonistic, with an SF of 0.78 (Table 1).

Resistance in mosquito strain Cq4D. Strain Cq4D was highly resistant to its selecting toxin, Cry11A (LC₉₅ RR, >7,000), and exhibited significant cross-resistance to Cry11B (LC₉₅ RR, 53.1), as shown in Table 2. Bioassays performed with this strain revealed a low but statistically significant level of resistance to *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* and an even lower level of cross-resistance to *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan* (LC₉₅ RR, 5.3 and 2.8, respectively) (Table 2). Cyt1A combined with Cry11B at a 1:3 ratio resulted in an SF of 1.0, indicating that the toxicity was additive (i.e., there was no synergism), and the cross-resistance ratios obtained at LC₅₀ and LC₉₅ were 7.1 and 17.5, respectively (Table 2).

Resistance in mosquito strain *Cq***4AB.** Strain *Cq***4AB** exhibited high levels of resistance to Cry4A plus Cry4B (LC_{95} RR, 290) (Table 3) but no significant resistance or cross-resistance to either *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* (LC_{95} RR, 1.86) or *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan* (LC_{95} RR, 2.3). However, there was a significant level of cross-resistance to Cry11B (LC_{95} RR, 80.7), which was completely suppressed when Cyt1A was combined with Cry11B at a 1:3 ratio (LC_{95} RR, 1.6) (Table 3 and Fig. 1). The SF was 4.8 for the interaction of these toxins, indicating that the increased toxicity of the combination resulted from synergism.

Resistance in mosquito strain *Cq***4ABD.** As shown in Table 4, strain *Cq*4ABD was highly resistant (LC₉₅ RR, 949) to a combination of three selecting toxins and exhibited a significant level of resistance to *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* (LC₉₅ RR, 12.4), as well as an extremely low but statistically significant level of cross-resistance to *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan* (LC₉₅ RR, 3.5). The level of resistance in *Cq*4ABD

to Cry11A (LC₅₀ RR, >7,000) and the level of cross-resistance to Cry11B (LC₉₅ RR, 347) were very high. However, when Cry11B was combined with Cyt1A, the level of cross-resistance to Cry11B was reduced substantially (LC₉₅ RR, 3.7) (Table 4 and Fig. 1). The interaction between Cyt1A and Cry11B was highly synergistic, with an SF of 11.2.

Resistance in mosquito strain *Cq***4ABDCytA.** Mosquito strain *Cq***4ABDCytA** exhibited a moderate level of resistance (LC₉₅ RR, 12.7) to the selecting bacterium, *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis*, and a low but statistically significant level of cross-resistance (LC₉₅ RR, 5.1) to *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan* (Table 5). Strain *Cq*4ABDCytA, however, exhibited a high level of cross-resistance to Cry11A (LC₉₅ RR, 567) and a moderate level of cross-resistance to Cry11B (LC₉₅ RR, 11.8), as shown in Table 5. A moderate level of resistance to Cyt1A (LC₅₀ RR, 8.3) was also detected in this strain. Combining Cyt1A with Cry11B resulted in a mild antagonism between these toxins and an SF of 0.72.



FIG. 1. Dose-response regression lines for Cry11B toxin from *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan* in the presence or absence of Cyt1A toxin, as determined with mosquito strains susceptible or resistant to Cry toxins from *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis*. (A) Toxicity of Cry11B in the presence or absence of Cyt1A, to susceptible strain CqSyn90 and resistant strain Cq4AB, which was selected with Cry4A and Cry4B. (B) Toxicity of Cry11B in the presence or absence of Cyt1A to susceptible strain CqSyn90 and resistant strain Cq4ABD, which was selected with cyt4A, Cry4B, and Cry11A.

Toxin(s)	No. of larvae	LC_{50} (µg/ml)	LC ₉₅ (µg/ml)	RR at:		<u>Slama</u>	Theoretical	
				LC ₅₀	LC ₉₅	Slope	LC ₅₀ (µg/ml)	51
B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis	1,100	0.122 (0.103-0.144) ^a	1.91 (1.41-2.72)	4.6 (3.9-5.5)	12.4 (9.1–16.9)	1.4		
B. thuringiensis subsp. jegathesan	800	0.274 (0.243-0.309)	1.56 (1.27-1.98)	3.9 (3.3-4.6)	3.5 (2.5-4.8)	2.2		
Cry11A	800	5,521	b	7,049		0.5		
Cry11B	1,200	4.96 (4.05-6.07)	167 (114-262)	56.2 (48.3-66.7)	347 (259-484)	1.1		
Cyt1A	400	27.6 (11.8-69.0)	Plateau			1.7		
Cry11B + Cyt1A	700	0.555 (0.479-0.642)	3.95 (3.08-5.38)	3.7 (3.1-4.4)	3.7 (2.7-5.1)	1.9	6.24	11.2
Cry4A + Cry4B + Cry11A	1,100	1.44 (1.13–1.82)	107 (70.2–176)	68.1 (58.1–79.9)	949 (707–1,272)	0.88		

TABLE 4. Toxicities and RR for various mosquitocidal bacterial toxins with strain Cq4ABD

^a The values in parentheses are fiducial limits (95% confidence interval).

^b —, value not given because the predicted value was extraordinarily high.

^c There was a plateau at concentrations from 100 to 1,000 μ g/ml, with an average mortality of 56.3% (32).

DISCUSSION

We found that strains of the mosquito *C. quinquefasciatus* selected for high levels of resistance to single and multiple toxins of *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* exhibit only low levels of cross-resistance to *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan*. In addition, we found that the same resistant mosquito strains exhibited moderate to high levels of cross-resistance to the Cry11B toxin from *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan*, but this cross-resistance could be markedly reduced in two of the strains by combining Cry11B with Cyt1A.

Our observation that there were only low levels of crossresistance to wild-type B. thuringiensis subsp. jegathesan in our resistant mosquito strains is consistent with prior work (31). Previously, we showed that the same resistant mosquito strains were highly sensitive to B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis provided that all of the toxins were present in the test preparations. The lack of any substantial resistance to the toxin complex of B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis was shown to result from highly synergistic interactions between the three Cry toxins and Cyt1A (32) and, to a lesser extent, from interactions among the Cry toxins (19, 31). Although synergism between Cyt1A and the Cry toxins against the nonresistant mosquito strain was demonstrated, the synergism against the resistant mosquito strains was much more pronounced. These results suggest that the low levels of cross-resistance to B. thuringiensis subsp. jegathesan in the resistant mosquito strains observed in the present study were due to interactions among the complex of seven toxins (the 80-, 72-, 70-, 65-, 37-, 26-, and 16-kDa proteins) present in this new mosquitocidal bacterium (8).

The levels of cross-resistance to Cry11B exhibited by the mosquito strains increased with the complexity of the Cry toxin mixture used for selection. The lowest level of cross-resistance was exhibited by strain Cq4D (LC₉₅ RR, 53.1), whereas higher levels of cross-resistance were exhibited by strains Cq4AB (LC₉₅ RR, 80.7) and Cq4ABD (LC₉₅ RR, 347) (Tables 2 to 4).

This finding is in direct contrast to the pattern of Cry11A resistance and cross-resistance reported previously for the same mosquito strains (31). The levels of resistance to Cry11A were highest in the strain selected with a single Cry toxin from B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis and declined with increasing complexity of the selecting mixture. Although Cry11B and Cry11A are similar, they differ in many amino acids whose roles in toxicity are not known. One explanation for the observed differences in cross-resistance patterns is the possibility that Cry11A and Cry11B bind to different receptors or with different affinities. Identification of the receptors for these two proteins, as well as the mechanism of resistance in the mosquito strains, would facilitate understanding these toxicity patterns. The contrasting patterns of resistance and cross-resistance between toxins with a significant degree of structural similarity suggest that these differences may provide information concerning toxin characteristics which are important for high mosquitocidal activity.

Another interesting observation that emerged from the present study concerned the interaction of Cry11B with Cyt1A, which varied from antagonistic to highly synergistic depending on the mosquito strain with which the combination was tested. No synergism at the LC50 was observed when the Cyt1A-Cry11B combination was tested against Cq4D. However, a threefold decline in resistance at the LC₉₅ suggests that this combination may, in fact, have some impact on cross-resistance. When it was tested against CqSyn90 or Cq4ABDCytA, the combination was slightly antagonistic. However, against Cq4AB and Cq4ABD, the combination was moderately and highly synergistic, respectively, and resulted in elimination of cross-resistance to Cry11B in strain Cq4AB and reduction of the RR to 3.7 for strain Cq4ABD. It is particularly notable that the Cyt1A-Cry11B combination resulted in no enhanced toxicity to nonresistant parental mosquito strain CqSyn90 because high levels of synergism were observed with combinations of

TABLE 5. Toxicities and RR for various mosquitocidal bacterial toxins with strain Cq4ABDCytA

Toxin(s)	No. of larvae	LC ₅₀ (µg/ml)	LC ₉₅ (µg/ml)	RR at:		Slana	Theoretical	SE.
				LC ₅₀	LC ₉₅	Slope	LC ₅₀ (µg/ml)	51
B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis	700	0.164 (0.138-0.195) ^a	1.96 (1.44-2.87)	6.3 (5.3–7.4)	12.7 (9.1–17.7)	1.5		
B. thuringiensis subsp. jegathesan	800	0.245 (0.212-0.282)	2.28 (1.79-3.07)	3.5 (2.9-4.1)	5.1 (3.8-7.0)	1.7		
Cry11A	1,000	19.9 (15.3-26.4)	2,831 (1,528-6,088)	25.5 (21.9-29.7)	567 (410-784)	0.76		
Cry11B	1,100	0.268 (0.222-0.322)	5.67 (4.16-8.21)	3.0 (2.6-3.6)	11.8 (8.7–16)	1.2		
Cyt1A	600	211 (158-307)	NA^b	8.3		0.99		
Cry11B + Cyt1A	900	0.497 (0.418-0.589)	6.93 (5.07-10.1)	3.3 (2.8-3.9)	6.5 (4.7-8.8)	1.4	0.356	0.72

^a The values in parentheses are the fiducial limits (95% confidence interval).

^b NA, the average mortality was 35% at a concentration of 1,000 µg/ml.

Cyt1A plus Cry11A or Cyt1A with Cry4 against the same mosquito strain in previous studies (32). The lack of synergism between Cyt1A and Cry11B against the nonresistant parental mosquito strain may have been due to the high toxicity of the latter toxin, which is approximately 10 times more toxic than Cry11A (8). The antagonistic interaction between Cyt1A and Cry11B in strain Cq4ABDCytA is more likely due to the eightfold level of resistance to Cyt1A detected in this strain. The mechanism of synergism between Cyt and Cry toxins is not known, but it has been postulated that Cyt1A may act by enhancing the binding to or insertion of Cry toxins into the mosquito microvillar membrane (32). If Cry11B's high toxicity compared to the toxicity of Cry11A is due to higher binding affinity or ability to insert into the microvillar membrane, then this may account for the lack of synergism between Cyt1A and Cry11B in the sensitive strain.

The focus of this study was to assess cross-resistance to Cry11B and B. thuringiensis subsp. jegathesan in mosquito strains resistant to the mosquitocidal toxins of B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis. However, it is noteworthy that the level of resistance reported here (LC95 RR, 12.7) (Table 5) in C. quinquefasciatus to Cry4ABDCytA (the wild-type strain of B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis) was a level that would be of concern in mosquito control programs. Nevertheless, substantial levels of resistance to B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis were not detected until after 60 generations of selection, whereas resistance to single or multiple mosquito Cry toxins appeared as early as generation 16 (11). A key difference between B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis and the various bacterial strains used to select resistance to Cry4 and Cry11 toxins is that the wildtype bacterium produces Cyt1A. These results, in conjunction with our finding of a low level of cross-resistance to B. thuringiensis subsp. jegathesan, which also produces a mixture of Cry and Cyt proteins (4), suggest that bacterial strains with combinations of Cry and Cyt proteins may be useful in management of resistance in mosquito populations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jeffrey J. Johnson for technical assistance.

This research was supported in part by grants from the University of California Mosquito Research Program to B.A.F. and W.E.W., by grant S96-51 from the University of California BioSTAR Research Program to B.A.F., and by competitive grant 92-37302-7603 from the United States Department of Agriculture to B.A.F.

REFERENCES

- Baumann, P., A. H. Broadwell, and P. Baumann. 1988. Sequence analysis of the mosquitocidal toxin genes encoding 51.4- and 41.9-kilodalton proteins from *Bacillus sphaericus* 2362 and 2297. J. Bacteriol. 170:2045–2050.
- Baumann, P., M. A. Clark, L. Baumann, and A. H. Broadwell. 1991. Bacillus sphaericus as a mosquito pathogen: properties of the organism and its toxicity. Microbiol. Rev. 55:425–436.
- Chang, C., S.-M. Dai, R. Frutos, B. A. Federici, and S. S. Gill. 1992. Properties of a 72-kilodalton mosquitocidal protein from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *morrisoni* PG-14 expressed in *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *kurstaki* by using the shuttle vector pHT3101. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58:507–512.
- Cheong, H., and S. S. Gill. 1997. Cloning and characterization of a cytolytic and mosquitocidal δ-endotoxin from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63:3254–3260.
- Crickmore, N., D. R. Zeigler, J. Feitelson, A. Schnepf, B. Lambert, D. Lereclus, J. Baum, and D. H. Dean. 1995. Revision of the nomenclature for *Bacillus thuringiensis* pesticide *cry* genes, p. 14. *In* Program and Abstracts of the 28th Annual Meeting of the Society for Invertebrate Pathology. Society for Invertebrate Pathology, Bethesda, Md.
- Delécluse, A., J.-F. Charles, A. Klier, and G. Rapoport. 1991. Deletion by in vitro recombination shows that the 28-kilodalton cytolytic polypeptide from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* is not essential for mosquitocidal activity. J. Bacteriol. 173:3374–3381.

- Delécluse, A., S. Poncet, A. Klier, and G. Rapoport. 1993. Expression of cryIVA and cryIVB genes, independently or in combination, in a crystalnegative strain of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59:3922–3927.
- Delécluse, A., M.-L. Rosso, and A. Ragni. 1995. Cloning and expression of a novel toxin gene from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *jegathesan* encoding a highly mosquitocidal protein. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61:4230–4235.
- Dulmage, H. T., J. A. Correa, and G. Gallagos-Morales. 1990. Potential for improved formulations of *Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis* through standardization and fermentation development, p. 110–133. *In* H. de Barjac and D. J. Sutherland (ed.), Bacterial control of mosquitoes and black flies. Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick, N.J.
- Finney, D. 1971. Probit analysis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.
- Georghiou, G. P., and M. C. Wirth. 1997. Influence of single versus multiple toxins of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* on development of resistance in the mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63:1095–1101.
- Gould, F., A. Anderson, A. Reynolds, L. Bumgarner, and W. Moar. 1995. Selection and genetic analysis of a *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) strain with high levels of resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins. J. Econ. Entomol. 88:1545–1559.
- Gould, F., A. Martinez-Ramirez, A. Anderson, J. Ferré, F. J. Silva, and W. J. Moar. 1992. Broad-spectrum resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins in *Heliothis virescens*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:7986–7990.
- Höfte, H., and H. Whitely. 1989. Insecticidal crystal proteins of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Microbiol. Rev. 53:242–255.
- McGaughey, W. M., and D. E. Johnson. 1994. Influence of crystal protein composition of *Bacillus thuringiensis* strains on cross-resistance in Indianmeal moths (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 87:535–540.
- Moar, W. J., M. Pusztai-Carey, H. Van Faasen, D. Bosch, R. Frutos, C. Rang, K. Luo, and M. J. Adang. 1995. Development of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1C resistance by *Spodoptera exigua* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61:2086–2092.
- Mulla, M. S. 1990. Activity, field efficacy, and use of *Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis* against mosquitoes, p. 134–160. *In* H. de Barjac and D. J. Sutherland (ed.), Bacterial control of mosquitoes and black flies. Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick, N.J.
- Müller-Cohn, J., J. Chaufaux, C. Buisson, N. Gilois, V. Sanchis, and D. Lercelus. 1996. Spodoptera littoralis (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) resistance to Cry1C and cross-resistance to other *Bacillus thuringiensis* crystal toxins. J. Econ. Entomol. 89:791–797.
- Poncet, S., A. Delécluse, A. Klier, and G. Rapoport. 1994. Evaluation of synergistic interactions among CryIVA, CryIVB, and CryIVD toxic components of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* crystals. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 66:131–135.
- Porter, A. G., E. W. Davidson, and J. W. Liu. 1993. Mosquitocidal toxins of bacilli and their genetic manipulation for effective biological control of mosquitoes. Microbiol. Rev. 57:838–861.
- Ragni, A., I. Thiéry, and A. Delécluse. 1996. Characterization of six highly mosquitocidal *Bacillus thuringiensis* strains that do not belong to H-14 serotype. Curr. Microbiol. 32:48–54.
- Rao, D. R., T. R. Mani, R. Rajendran, A. S. Joseph, and A. Gajanana. 1995. Development of high level resistance to *Bacillus sphaericus* in a field population of *Culex quinquefasciatus* from Kochi, India. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 11:1–5.
- Raymond, M., G. Prato, and D. Ratsira. 1993. Probability analysis of mortality assays displaying quantal response, version 3.3. Praxeme, Saint Georges d'Orques, France.
- Seleena, P., H. L. Lee, and M. M. Lecadet. 1995. A new serovar of *Bacillus thuringiensis* possessing 28a28c flagellar antigenic structure: *Bacillus thuringiensis* serovar *jegathesan*, selectively toxic against mosquito larvae. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 11:471–473.
- Silva-Filha, M.-H., L. Regis, C. Nielsen-Leroux, and J.-F. Charles. 1995. Low level resistance to *Bacillus sphaericus* in a field-treated population of *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 88:525–530.
- 26. Sinègre, G., M. Babinot, J.-M. Quermal, and B. Gaven. 1994. First field occurrence of *Culex pipiens* resistance to *Bacillus sphaericus* in southern France, p. 17. *In Proceedings*, 8th European Meeting of Society for Vector Ecology, 5–8 September 1994, Barcelona, Spain. Society for Vector Ecology, Santa Ana, Calif.
- Tabashnik, B. E. 1992. Evaluation of synergism among *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58:3343–3346.
- Tabashnik, B. E., N. Finson, M. W. Johnson, and W. J. Moar. 1993. Resistance to toxins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *kurstaki* causes minimal cross-resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *aizawai* in the diamondback moth (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59:1332–1335.
- Tabashnik, B. E., T. Malvar, Y.-B. Liu, N. Finson, D. Borthakur, B.-S. Shin, S.-H. Park, L. Masson, R. A. De Maagd, and D. Bosch. 1996. Cross-resistance of the diamondback moth indicates altered interactions with domain II of *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62:2839–2844.

- Tang, J. D., A. M. Shelton, J. van Rie, S. de Roeck, W. J. Moar, R. T. Roush, and M. Peferoen. 1996. Toxicity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* spore and crystal protein to resistant diamondback moth (*Plutella xylostella*). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62:564–569.
- Wirth, M. C., and G. P. Georghiou. 1997. Cross-resistance among CryIV toxins of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* in *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 90:1471–1477.
- Wirth, M. C., G. P. Georghiou, and B. A. Federici. 1997. CytA enables CryIV endotoxins of *Bacillus thuringiensis* to overcome high levels of CryIV resistance in the mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94:10536–10540.
- Wu, D., and B. A. Federici. 1993. A 20-kilodalton protein preserves cell viability and promotes CytA crystal formation during sporulation in *Bacillus thuringiensis*. J. Bacteriol. 175:5276–5280.